2006 Annual Report

73rd/University Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2007



■ Ernst & Young LLP
Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2007

Ms. Kathleen A. Nelson First Deputy Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the 73rd/University Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP
Ernst & Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT $-73^{RD}\!/\!\text{UNIVERSITY}$ REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGE
LE	TTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

City Hall, Room 1000
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-4190
(312) 744-2271 (FAX)
(312) 744-2578 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2007

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the 73rd/University Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely

Kathleen A. Nelson

First Deputy Commissioner





(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on September 13, 2006. The Project Area may be terminated no later than September 13, 2029.

Note: Incremental tax revenues levied in the 23rd tax year are collected in the 24th tax year. Although the Project Area will expire in Year 23 in accordance with 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3(n)(J)(3), the incremental taxes received in the 24th tax year will be deposited into the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

During 2006, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1149 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the 73rd/University Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2006, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 29th day of June, 2007.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 29, 2007

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1149 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: 73rd/University

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

mara S. Georges

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

During 2006, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

TABLE 6
DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY PURCHASED BY THE MUNICIPALITY WITHIN THE TIF AREA

STREET ADDRESS	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	SELLER OF PROPERTY
1213 E 72nd St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
7154 S Greenwood Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
7151 S Dobson Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ This property was acquired through the Tax Reactivation Program ("TRP"), under which the City instructs the County of Cook to make a no cash bid on certain tax-delinquent parcels. The City then pursues the acquisition in a court proceeding and receives a tax deed from the County after a court order is issued. The City pays court costs and certain incidental expenses for each parcel, which average between \$2,000 and \$2,500. The size and description of each parcel is usually not available.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- (D) Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/06, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2007; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/06, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2006, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2006, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

During 2006, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2006, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

Since November 1, 1999, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2006, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2007.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on May 5, 20906 at 10:10 a.m. City Hall, Room 1003, Conference Room, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Mr. Eric Reese.

PRESENT:

MR. ERIC REESE

MS. COLLEEN STONE

MR. DAVID BRYANT

MS. KAY KOSMAL

MS. MARY SUE BARRETT

MS. FLORENCE BRAMSON

MS. CAROLYN JACKSON

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service

200 N. LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE (312) 263-0052

- 1 MR. REESE: Good morning. My name is
- 2 Eric Reese, I'm the Deputy Budget Director
- 3 for the Chicago Park District. To my left
- 4 is --
- MS. STONE: My name's Colleen Stone,
- 6 I am here for John McCormick, I'm from the
- 7 Department of Finance.
- 8 MR. BRYANT: David Bryant, I'm a
- 9 Treasurer at Chicago Public Schools, I'm
- 10 here for Assistant Mayor.
- MS. BARRETT: Mary Sue Barrett,
- 12 Metropolitan Planning Counsel, Public
- 13 Member.
- MS. BRAMSON: Florence Bramson.
- MR. REESE: Florence is going to be
- 16 our Public Member as well today.
- 17 All right, we'll get going. For
- the record, my name is Eric Reese, I'm a
- 19 representative of the Chicago Park District,
- under Section 11-74.4-5 of the Tax Increment
- 21 Allocation Redevelopment Act, as one of the
- 22 statutory designated members of the Joint
- 23 Review Board. Until election of a
- 24 chairperson I'll moderate the Joint Review

- Board Meetings.
- For the record, there will be two
- 3 meetings of the Joint Review Board. One's
- 4 for the review of the proposed Touhy/Western
- 5 Tax Increment Financing District and one to
- 6 review the proposed 73rd and University's
- 7 Tax Increment Financing District.
- 8 The first meeting will be for the
- 9 Touhy/Western.
- The date of the Touhy/Western
- 11 meeting was announced and set by the
- 12 Community Development Commission of the City
- of Chicago at it's meeting of April 11th,
- 14 2006. Notice of the Touhy/Western meeting of
- 15 the Joint Review Board was also provided by
- 16 certified mail to each of the taxing district
- 17 represented on the board which includes
- 18 Chicago Board of Education, Chicago
- 19 Community Colleges District 508, Chicago
- 20 Park District, Cook County and the City of
- 21 Chicago. Public notice of this meeting was
- 22 also posted as of Wednesday, May 3rd, 2006 in
- various locations throughout City Hall.
- When a proposed redevelopment

```
1 plan would result in a displacement of
```

- 2 residents of ten or more inhabited
- 3 residential units, or would include 75 or
- 4 more inhabited residential units, the TIF
- 5 Act requires that the public member of the
- 6 Joint Review Board must reside in the
- 7 proposed redevelopment project area.
- 8 In addition, if a municipalities
- 9 housing impact study determines that the
- 10 majority of residential units in a proposed
- 11 redevelopment project area are occupied by
- very low, low, to moderate income households
- as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois
- Affordable Housing Act, the public member
- must be a person who resides in very low, low
- or moderate income housing with the proposed
- 17 redevelopment project area.
- With us today is Florence
- 19 Bramson. Ms. Bramson, are you familiar with
- the boundaries of the proposed Touhy/Western
- 21 Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment?
- MS. BRAMSON: Yes.
- MR. REESE: What is the address of
- 24 your primary residence?

- MS. BRAMSON: 6840 North Sacramento
- Boulevard.
- MR. REESE: I such address within the
- 4 boundaries of the proposed Touhy/Western Tax
- 5 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
- 6 Area?
- 7 MS. BRAMSON: Excuse me?
- 8 MR. REESE: Is your address within
- 9 the area?
- MS. BRAMSON: Yes.
- MR. REESE: Okay. Thank you very
- 12 much. Have you provided representatives of
- the City of Chicago, Department of Planning
- and Development, with accurate information
- 15 concerning your income and the income of
- 16 members of your household residing within
- 17 the address?
- MS. BRAMSON: Yes.
- 19 MR. REESE: Based on the information
- 20 provided to you by the Department of Planning
- 21 and Development regarding applicable income
- level for very low, low and moderate income
- 23 households, do you qualify as a member of one
- of these three categories?

- MS. BRAMSON: Yes.
- MR. REESE: Thank you very much. Ms.
- Bramson, are you willing to serve as the
- 4 public member for the Joint Review Board for
- 5 the Touhy/Western Tax Increment Financing
- 6 Redevelopment Project Area?
- 7 MS. BRAMSON: Yes.
- 8 MR. REESE: I will enter in a motion
- 9 that Florence Bramson be selected as the
- 10 public member. Is there a motion?
- MR. BRYANT: So moved.
- MR. REESE: Thank you. All in favor
- please say aye.
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- 16 that Florence Bramson has been selected as
- 17 the public member for the Touhy/Western Tax
- 18 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
- 19 Area.
- Our next order of business --
- MS. BARRETT: Question? Does that
- mean I'm not to vote on this item?
- MR. REESE: Exactly.
- MS. BARRETT: Okay.

- MR. REESE: Thank you very much.
- MS. BARRETT: I was not informed.
- MR. REESE: Our next order of -- is
- 4 that correct, let me just double check. She
- 5 is serving as the public member from the --
- 6 MS. BARRETT: I'm the public member
- of this Board, and I believe there's only one
- 8 public member?
- 9 MS. WORTHY: Oh, no, both of these
- 10 TIF's require public members who actually
- 11 live inside the district.
- MS. BARRETT: Got it.
- MR. REESE: Okay. And you're going
- 14 to act as the public member for the second
- 15 one?
- MS. WORTHY: No, Carolyn Jackson will
- 17 act as the public member --
- MS. BARRETT: So you don't need me at
- 19 this meeting?
- MS. WORTHY: No.
- MR. REESE: No, but you're more than
- welcome to participate all that you want.
- MS. BARRETT: Okay.
- MR. REESE: The next order of

```
1 business is to select a chairperson for the
```

- 2 Joint Review Board. Is there any nomination?
- MS. STONE: I nominate Eric Reese.
- 4 MR. REESE: Is there a second?
- MR. BRYANT: Second.
- 6 MR. REESE: All in favor, please say
- 7 aye.
- 8 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 9 MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- 10 that I will serve as chairperson and will now
- 11 serve as chairperson for the remainder of the
- 12 meeting.
- As I mentioned, at this meeting
- 14 we will be reviewing a plan for the
- 15 Touhy/Western TIF District proposed by the
- 16 City of Chicago. The staff of the City's
- 17 Department of Planning and Development along
- with other departments and they have
- 19 reviewed this plan which was introduced by
- 20 the Community Development Commission on
- 21 April 11th, 2006.
- We will listen to a presentation
- 23 by the consultant. Following the
- 24 presentation we will address any questions

```
that the members might have for the
```

- 2 consultant or city staff. A previous
- amendment to the TIF Act requires that -- to
- approve or disapprove the Touhy/Western plan
- and the designation of the Touhy/Western TIF
- 6 area on the basis of the area and the plan
- 7 satisfying the plan requirements.
- 8 The eligibility criteria are
- 9 defined in the TIF Act and the objectives of
- 10 the TIF Act. If the Board approves the plan
- 11 designation of the area, the Board will then
- 12 issue an advisory, non-binding
- recommendation by a voting majority of those
- 14 members present and voting. Such
- 15 recommendations shall be submitted to the
- 16 city within 30 days after the Board meeting.
- 17 Failure to submit such recommendations shall
- 18 be deemed to constitute approval from the
- 19 Board.
- 20 If the Board disapproves a
- 21 planned designation of the area they must
- 22 issue a written report describing why the
- 23 plan and area failed to meet one or more of
- the objectives of the TIF Act in both the

```
1 plan requirements and the eligibility
```

- criteria of the TIF act. The city will then
- 3 have 30 days to resubmit a revised plan.
- The Board and the city must also
- 5 confer during this time to try and resolve
- 6 the issues that led to the Board's
- 7 disapproval. If such issues cannot be
- 8 resolved or if the revised plan is
- 9 disapproved, the city may approve with the
- 10 plan, may proceed with the plan but the plans
- can be approved only with 3/5 vote of City
- 12 Counsel, excluding positions and members who
- 13 are vacant and those members that are
- ineligible to vote because of conflict of
- 15 interest
- 16 We will have a presentation for
- 17 the area presented by the consultants from
- 18 Louik/Schneider & Associates. Are they
- 19 present?
- MR. KNIGHT: My name is Chris Knight,
- 21 I work for Louik/Schneider & Associates
- 22 which served as the consultants in the
- designation of the Touhy/Western TIF
- District. The area includes approximately

```
1 243 acres and 335 parcels and is bounded on
```

- 2 the west side by Sacramento Avenue from Touhy
- 3 to the north, down to North Shore Avenue on
- 4 the south.
- On Cook Avenue it is bounds by
- 6 Albany Avenue on the west side down to
- Western Avenue on the east, and the Western
- 8 Avenue corridor includes Birchwood Avenue on
- 9 the north end down to Arthur Avenue on the
- 10 south. In addition it is also bounded by
- 11 Warren Park, Phillip Rogers Park and School
- 12 which is shown on the maps here just west of
- 13 Western Avenue and north of Touhy.
- The present land use plan,
- 15 present land use at the area includes mostly
- 16 commercial areas and mixed use commercial
- and residential, both along Touhy and
- 18 Western Avenues. There's also institutional
- 19 uses distributed throughout the area that
- 20 includes public facilities, parks, schools,
- 21 places of worship. All of these are
- designated by different color codes on the
- present land use analysis behind me.
- The proposed land use will be

```
1 predominantly mixed use and will include
```

- 2 residential and, mixed use residential,
- 3 commercial and institutional, so it will be
- 4 incorporating the present institutional uses
- 5 into the proposed land use.
- To qualify the area we used the
- 7 condition of conservation area which
- 8 includes criteria for the age requirement
- 9 where at least half the area needs to be of
- 10 35 years or more. The present condition of
- 11 the area is, includes a high number of vacant
- 12 buildings, deteriorated buildings and site
- improvement, underutilized parcels, general
- 14 lack of maintenance, lack of parking,
- traffic congestion along Touhy Avenue,
- obsolete configuration and high speed
- 17 traffic.
- In addition to the age we found
- the necessary 4 criteria of the 13 to qualify
- the area as a conservation area. In fact, we
- 21 did find eight, four of which were found to a
- 22 major extent and four to a minor.
- To a major extent we found
- obsolescence which was present in 173 of the

```
1 335 parcels which is approximately 52
```

- 2 percent; deterioration which was found in
- 3 220 of the parcels which is approximately 66
- 4 percent; deleterious land use or layout
- 5 which was found in 176 of the parcels, which
- is 53 percent; and lastly lack of EAV growth,
- 7 which is a criteria where the EAV needs to
- grow in a negative rate or a rate that is
- 9 less than the balance of the City of Chicago,
- 10 and in this instance we found that it was
- 11 present in four of the last five years.
- 12 Those years were from 1999 to 2000, 2000 to
- 13 2001, 2002 to 2003, and 2003 to '04, which
- was the last year that we were able to find.
- Now, to a minor extent we found
- 16 dilapidation, which was found in 12 of the
- buildings, which is approximately six
- percent; excessive vacancies, which is in 31
- of the buildings of which there are a total
- of 202, and that is approximately 15 percent
- of the area; excessive land coverage and
- overcrowding of the structures, which was
- found in 36 of the 335 parcels, which was
- approximately 11 percent; and finally a lack

```
of community planning, based on our review
```

- 2 and analysis of the area, we found was, in
- deed, present in the area.
- To conclude, we did, in fact,
- were, in fact, able to qualify the area as a
- 6 conservation area based on the fact that it
- 7 does incorporate the age requirement and at
- 8 least 4 of the 13 eligibility factors. And
- 9 again, to a major extent we did find
- 10 obsolescence, deterioration, deleterious
- 11 land use, and lack of EAV growth, and to a
- 12 minor extent found dilapidation, excessive
- vacancies, excessive land coverage or
- layout, and lack of community planning.
- 15 I'll briefly go over the budget
- 16 that we constructed for the area. We've
- 17 projected a generation of increment of
- approximately \$32 million and \$2006 over the
- 19 life of the TIF District, and these dollars
- will be allocated to property assembly, site
- 21 preparation, public works and improvements,
- relocation costs, rehabilitation of existing
- structure, job training, interest subsidies
- and professional services such as studies,

```
1 surveys, plans, administrative costs and
```

- 2 finally daycare services within the area.
- MR. REESE: Based on the presentation
- 4 do any members have any questions?
- 5 MR. BRYANT: No.
- 6 MR. REESE: I actually have one.
- 7 Have any developers been selected to do any
- 8 of the work or is it still --
- 9 MR. KNIGHT: Not that I'm aware of,
- 10 no.
- MR. REESE: Based on the
- 12 presentation, actually, if there are no
- 13 further questions I'll entertain a motion
- 14 that this Joint Review Board finds that the
- proposed redevelopment plan, Touhy and
- 16 Western Tax Increment Financing and
- 17 Redevelopment Project area satisfies the
- 18 redevelopment plan requirements under the
- 19 TIF Act. The eligibility criteria defined in
- Section 11-74.4-3 of the TIF Act and the
- objective of the TIF Act, and that based on
- 22 such findings include such proposed plan
- designations of such area.
- Is there a motion?

- MS. STONE: So moved.
- MR. REESE: Is there a second?
- MR. BRYANT: Second.
- 4 MR. REESE: All in favor please say
- 5 aye.
- 6 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 7 MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- 8 the Joint Review Board's approval of the
- 9 proposed Touhy/Western redevelopment plan
- 10 designation of the Touhy/Western Tax
- 11 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
- Area as a redevelopment project area under
- the TIF Act.
- MR. KNIGHT: Thank you.
- MR. REESE: This will, I need a
- 16 motion to adjourn the Touhy/Western Joint
- 17 Review Board.
- MR. BRYANT: So moved.
- MR. REESE: Let's take a 30 second
- 20 break before our next --
- (Off the record.)
- MR. REESE: We're now beginning the
- 23 73rd and University Joint Review Board
- Meeting. For the record, my name is Eric

```
1 Reese, I'm a representative of the Chicago
```

- 2 Park District under Section 11-74.4-5 of the
- 3 Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act,
- 4 as one of the statutory designated members of
- 5 the Joint Review Board. Until the election
- of a chairperson I'll moderate this Joint
- 7 Review Board meeting.
- This will be a meeting to review
- 9 the proposed 73rd and University Tax
- 10 Increment Financing District. The date of
- 11 this meeting was announced at and set by the
- 12 Community Development Commission of the City
- of Chicago at it's meeting on April 11th,
- 14 2006. Notice of this meeting of the Joint
- Review Board was also provided by certified
- mail to each of the taxing district
- representatives on the Board, which includes
- 18 the Chicago Board of Education, Chicago
- 19 Community Colleges District 508, the Chicago
- Park District, Cook County and the City of
- 21 Chicago. Public notice of this meeting was
- 22 also posted as of Wednesday, May 3^{rd} , 2006 at
- various throughout City Hall.
- When a proposed redevelopment

```
1 plan would result in the displacement of
```

- 2 residents of 10 or more inhabited
- 3 residential units, or would include 75 or
- 4 more inhabited residential units the TIF Act
- 5 requires that a public member of the Joint
- 6 Review Board must reside in the proposed
- 7 redevelopment project area.
- 8 In addition, if the Municipality
- 9 Housing Impact Study determines that the
- 10 majority of the residential units in a
- 11 proposed redevelopment project area are to
- 12 be occupied by very low, low and moderate
- income households, as defined in Section 3 of
- 14 the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, the
- public member must be a person who resides in
- a very low, low, or moderate income housing
- within the proposed redevelopment project
- 18 area.
- With us today is Ms. Carolyn
- Jackson, correct?
- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- MR. REESE: Are you familiar with the
- boundaries of the proposed 73rd and
- 24 University Tax Increment Financing

```
1 Redevelopment Project?
```

- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- MR. REESE: What's the address of
- 4 your primary residence?
- MS. JACKSON: 7107 South Ingleside.
- MR. REESE: Is such address within
- 7 the boundaries of the proposed area?
- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- 9 MR. REESE: Have you provided
- 10 representatives of the City of Chicago's
- 11 Department of Planning and Development with
- 12 accurate information concerning your income
- and the income of other members of the
- household residing at such address?
- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- MR. REESE: Based on the information
- 17 provided to you by the Department of Planning
- and Development regarding applicable income
- 19 levels, very low, low and moderate income
- 20 households, do you qualify as a member of one
- of these?
- MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- MR. REESE: Ms. Jackson, are you
- 24 willing to serve as the public member for the

```
1 Joint Review Board for this 73<sup>rd</sup> and
```

- 2 University Tax Increment Financing and
- 3 Redevelopment Project Area?
- 4 MS. JACKSON: Yes.
- 5 MR. REESE: Thank you very much. --
- 6 entertain a motion that Ms. Jackson be
- 7 selected as the public member, is there a
- 8 motion?
- 9 MS. STONE: So moved.
- MR. BRYANT: Second.
- MR. REESE: Thank you. All in favor
- 12 please say aye.
- 13 (Chorus of ayes.)
- MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- that Ms. Jackson has been selected as the
- 16 public member of the 73rd and University Tax
- 17 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
- 18 Area.
- Our next order of business is to
- 20 select a chairperson for the Joint Review
- 21 Board. Are there any nominations?
- MS. STONE: I nominate Eric Reese.
- MR. REESE: Thank you very much, is
- there a second?

```
MR. BRYANT: Second.
```

- MR. REESE: All in favor?
- 3 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 4 MR. REESE: Thank you. Let the
- 5 record reflect that I will remain as
- 6 chairperson and will now serve as
- 7 chairperson for the remainder of the
- 8 meeting.
- 9 As I mentioned, at this meeting
- 10 we'll be reviewing a plan for the
- 11 73rd/University District proposed by the
- 12 City of Chicago, or proposed by the City of
- 13 Chicago. The staff of the City's Department
- of Planning and Development along with other
- departments have reviewed this plan which
- was introduced by the City's Community
- Development Commission on April 11th, 2006.
- We will listen to a presentation
- by the consultant on the plan. Following the
- 20 presentation we will address any questions
- that the members may have for the consultant
- or city staff. Previous amendment to the TIF
- 23 Act requires us to base our recommendation to
- approve or disapprove the 73rd/University

```
Plan, the designation of the 73<sup>rd</sup>/University
```

- 2 TIF Area on the basis of the area and the --
- 3 eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act
- 4 and the objectives of the TIF Act.
- If the Board approves a plan and
- 6 the designation of the area, the Board will
- 7 then issue an advisory non-binding
- 8 recommendation by the vote of a majority of
- 9 those members present and voting. Such
- 10 recommendation shall be submitted to the
- 11 City within 30 days of the Board Meeting.
- 12 Failure to submit such a recommendation
- shall be deemed to constitute approval by the
- 14 Board.
- 15 If the Board disapproves a claim,
- the designation of the area, the Board must
- 17 issue a written report describing why the
- 18 planned area failed to meet one or more of
- 19 the objectives of the TIF Act in both the
- 20 Planning Department and the eligible
- 21 criteria of the TIF Act. The city will then
- have 30 days to resubmit the revised plan.
- The Board and the city must also
- 24 confer during this time to try and resolve

```
the issues that led to the Board's
```

- 2 disapproval. If such issues cannot be
- 3 resolved or if the revised plans are
- 4 disapproved the city may proceed with the
- 5 plan but the plan can be approved only with
- 6 3/5 vote of City Counsel.
- We will now actually move to a
- 8 presentation by Louik/Schneider & Associates
- 9 for 73rd and University.
- MS. RUFFOLO: Hi, my name is Tricia
- Marino Ruffolo, I'm here to present the plan
- 12 prepared by Louik/Schneider & Associates for
- the 73 rd and University Redevelopment
- 14 Project Area.
- As you can see in the maps behind
- me, the study area is bounded by Oakwood
- 17 Cemetery on the north, 75th Street on the
- 18 south, the alley west of South Chicago Avenue
- on the west and the railroad track on the
- 20 east.
- 21 As part of the redevelopment
- 22 plan, a housing impact study was prepared.
- The existing land uses, the area is primarily
- composed of residential uses, the second map

```
1 there is the existing land uses. In yellow
```

- 2 are all residential, the white are vacant
- parcels, blue is institutional -- green is
- 4 institutional, blue is mixed and the red is
- 5 commercial.
- 6 The proposed land use for the
- 7 redevelopment project area simplifies the
- 8 land use plan a little bit with all the
- 9 residential remaining the same, the
- 10 institutional remaining the same and a mixed
- 11 for the area along South Chicago Avenue and
- 12 this parcel right here. The mixed land use
- allows for residential and/or commercial and
- 14 institutional to be used within the same
- parcel.
- 16 The Redevelopment Project Area
- 17 contains approximately 135 acres, 31 blocks,
- 18 808 parcels and 575 buildings. The study
- 19 area is characterized by the following
- 20 characteristics; a high number of vacant
- parcels, over 26 percent; a high number of
- deteriorated buildings, obsolete
- configurations throughout, environmental
- 24 cleanup and concerns, and other

```
1 deteriorating characteristics.
```

- 2 Based on our findings the study
- 3 area warrants the designation as a
- 4 conservation area. Specifically the study
- 5 area meets the statutory criteria for age, 83
- 6 percent of the buildings are 35 years of age
- or older, and of the 13 eligibility factors
- 8 set forth in the act, 8 are present, and only
- 9 three are needed for a designation.
- The factors that were found
- 11 present in the study area include
- 12 dilapidation, which was found present to a
- minor extent in 56 of the 575 buildings;
- obsolescence which was found present to a
- major extent also in 375 of the 806 parcels;
- deterioration was found present to a major
- extent in 77 percent of the parcels;
- 18 excessive vacancy was found present to a
- minor extent in 20 percent of the buildings.
- 20 Inadequate utilities was also found present
- throughout the area because of the size and
- age of the water mains, and that was found
- present to a major extent, deleterious land
- use and layout was found present to a major

```
1 extent in 57 percent of the parcels.
```

- 2 Environmental cleanup exists in
- 3 the study area and therefore, in the study
- 4 area is present to a major extent in 20
- 5 percent of the parcels. Lack of community
- 6 planning was also found present because the
- 7 areas developed prior to the benefit or the
- guidance of a community plan.
- 9 Lack of EAV, lack of growth and
- 10 EAV comparison was also found present. For
- 11 the three of the last five years the study
- 12 area has been increasing at a rate that is
- less than the balance of the community area,
- 14 as the municipality.
- 15 Based on our findings the
- 16 eligibility findings indicate that the study
- 17 area qualifies as a conservation area and is
- in need of revitalization.
- Any questions?
- MR. BRYANT: Are you going to discuss
- 21 the plan at all?
- MS. RUFFOLO: The plan for the area?
- The redevelopment plan for the area is
- 24 basically to support what is already there.

```
1 There are no -- to the residentials. Keep
```

- 2 the residential development here. As you can
- 3 see, these parcels here are vacant, the plan
- 4 is to improve those, and so that you see less
- of the white, and that you see all the yellow
- 6 so that the residential infill is completed.
- 7 And then could develop the commercial,
- 8 there's a lot of vacancies within the
- 9 commercial as you can see, there's a lot of
- 10 vacant buildings and vacant parcels, and
- 11 these are all vacant buildings here and down
- 12 here, vacant parcels and vacant buildings
- 13 throughout.
- And so it's basically just to
- 15 improve the area, add in new commercial where
- appropriate and institutional uses and to
- 17 provide infill housing.
- MR. REESE: Are there any other
- 19 questions?
- MR. BRYANT: Could we inspect the
- 21 budget?
- MS. RUFFOLO: Sure. The budget for
- the area is \$37 million, and the categories,
- 24 \$2 million for property assembly, 2 million

```
1 for site prep, 18 million for public works
```

- 2 and improvement, 1 million for relocation, a
- 3 rehab \$9 million, job training, 1.5 million,
- 4 income subsidy is another 1.5, professional
- 5 services \$750,000 and daycare services 1.5
- 6 million giving you the total of \$37.250 for
- 7 the life of the TIF. Any other questions?
- 8 MR. BRYANT: No, I --
- 9 MR. REESE: Seeing there are no
- 10 further questions I will entertain a motion
- 11 that this Joint Review Board finds this
- 12 proposed redevelopment plan, 73rd/University
- 13 Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment
- 14 Project Area satisfies the redevelopment
- plan requirements under the TIF Act.
- The eligibility criteria defined
- in Section 11-74.4-3 of the TIF Act and the
- objectives of the TIF Act, and that based on
- 19 such findings approve such proposed plan and
- 20 the designation of such areas of
- 21 redevelopment project area under the TIF
- 22 Act. Is there a motion?
- MS. STONE: So moved.
- MR. REESE: All in favor?

1	(Chorus of ayes.)
2	MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
3	the Joint Review Board's approval of a
4	proposed 73 rd /University Redevelopment Plan
5	and the designation of the 73 rd /University
6	Tax Increment Plan as a redevelopment
7	project area under the TIF Act. This
8	concludes it. May I get a motion to adjourn
9	MR. BRYANT: So moved.
10	MR. REESE: All in favor?
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	MR. REESE: Thank you all for your
13	help today.
14	(Whereupon the above matter
15	was concluded.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

COUNTY OF C O O K)

I, JACK ARTSTEIN depose and say that I am a verbatim reporter doing business in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that I caused to be transcribed the proceedings heretofore identified and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the aforesaid hearing.

JACK ARTSTEIN

SUBSCR	IBEI	O AND	SWORN	TO		
BEFORE	ME	THIS	/0	M	DA	Y OF
		MAY			A.D.	20 <u>06</u> .

NOTARY PUBLIC

OFFICIAL BEAL
ROMAND LEGITIAND JR
NOTARY PRINCE - BILLIE OF ILLIHOSS
MY COMMITTEE BOWNES: 10-11-05

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2006, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2006, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

During 2006, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The 73rd/University Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded on the north by Oakwood Cemetery (at 67th and 71st Streets), on the south by 75th Street, on the east by the Illinois Central Railroad and on the west by the alley west of South Chicago Avenue. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

